

# Private M&A

*Contributing editors*  
Will Pearce and John Bick



2018

GETTING THE  
DEAL THROUGH 

GETTING THE  
DEAL THROUGH 

# Private M&A 2018

*Contributing editors*  
**Will Pearce and John Bick**  
**Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP**

Publisher  
Gideon Robertson  
gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions  
Sophie Pallier  
subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Senior business development managers  
Alan Lee  
alan.lee@gettingthedealthrough.com

Adam Sargent  
adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White  
dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com



Published by  
Law Business Research Ltd  
87 Lancaster Road  
London, W11 1QQ, UK  
Tel: +44 20 3708 4199  
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

© Law Business Research Ltd 2017  
No photocopying without a CLA licence.  
First published 2017  
First edition  
ISSN 2515-3781

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between August and October 2017. Be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by  
Encompass Print Solutions  
Tel: 0844 2480 112



## CONTENTS

<b>Comparing UK and US acquisition agreements</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>Ireland</b>	<b>89</b>
Will Pearce and William Tong Davis Polk & Wardwell London LLP		Paul Robinson and Conor McCarthy Arthur Cox	
<b>Price mechanisms: seller versus buyer considerations</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>Italy</b>	<b>96</b>
Amit Abhyankar and Hinesh Desai PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP		Filippo Troisi and Francesco Florio Legance – Avvocati Associati	
<b>The use of W&amp;I insurance in private M&amp;A transactions</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>Japan</b>	<b>103</b>
Lorraine Lloyd-Thomas Marsh Ltd		Kayo Takigawa and Yushi Hegawa Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu	
<b>Australia</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>Korea</b>	<b>109</b>
Michael Wallin, Jessica Perry and Roberta Foster MinterEllison		Gene-Oh (Gene) Kim and Joon B Kim Kim & Chang	
<b>Austria</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>Luxembourg</b>	<b>116</b>
Florian Kuszniar Schoenherr Rechtsanwalte GmbH		Gérald Origer, Claire-Marie Darnand and Michaël Meylan Stibbe	
<b>Belgium</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>Mexico</b>	<b>122</b>
Dries Hommez and Laurens D’Hoore Stibbe		Ricardo Garcia Giorgana Galicia Abogados, SC	
<b>Canada</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>Netherlands</b>	<b>128</b>
John Mercury, James McClary, Bryan Haynes, Ian Michael, Kristopher Hanc and Drew Broughton Bennett Jones LLP		Hans Witteveen and Julie-Anne Siegers Stibbe	
<b>China</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>Norway</b>	<b>135</b>
Jie Lan and Jiangshan (Jackson) Tang Haiwen & Partners Howard Zhang Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP		Ole Kristian Aabø-Evensen Aabø-Evensen & Co Advokatfirma	
<b>Denmark</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>Poland</b>	<b>145</b>
Anders Ørjan Jensen and Charlotte Thorsen Gorrissen Federspiel		Joanna Wajdzik, Anna Nowodworska and Damian Majda Wolf Theiss	
<b>Finland</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>Portugal</b>	<b>152</b>
Sten Olsson and Johannes Husa Hannes Snellman Attorneys Ltd		Francisco Santos Costa Cuatrecasas	
<b>France</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>Serbia</b>	<b>159</b>
Christophe Perchet, Juliette Loget and Jean-Christophe Devouge Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP		Nenad Stankovic, Sara Pendjer, Tijana Kovacevic and Dusan Djordjevic Stankovic & Partners	
<b>Germany</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>South Africa</b>	<b>165</b>
Alexander Schwarz and Ralf Morshäuser Gleiss Lutz		Charles Douglas and Sibonelo Mdluli Bowmans	
<b>Hong Kong</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>Spain</b>	<b>171</b>
Paul Chow and Yang Chu Davis Polk & Wardwell		Federico Roig García-Bernalt and Francisco J Martínez Maroto Cuatrecasas	
<b>India</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>Sweden</b>	<b>178</b>
Iqbal Khan and Abhinav Bhalaiik Shardul Amarchand Mangaldas & Co		Peter Sundgren and Matthias Pannier Advokatfirman Vinge KB	

<b>Switzerland</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>United Kingdom</b>	<b>196</b>
Claude Lambert and Reto Heuberger Homburger AG		Will Pearce, Simon J Little and William Tong Davis Polk & Wardwell London LLP	
<b>Turkey</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>United States</b>	<b>203</b>
Noyan Turunç, Kerem Turunç, Esin Çamlıbel, Grace Maral Burnett, Didem Bengisu and Nilay Enkür TURUNÇ		Harold Birnbaum, Lee Hochbaum and Brian Wolfe Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	

# South Africa

Charles Douglas and Sibonelo Mdluli

Bowmans

## Structure and process, legal regulation and consents

### 1 How are acquisitions and disposals of privately owned companies, businesses or assets structured in your jurisdiction? What might a typical transaction process involve and how long does it usually take?

In South Africa, acquisitions and disposals of privately owned companies are more often structured as share sale transactions unless the purchaser wants to cherry pick assets or liabilities.

Acquisitions and disposals of privately owned companies may also be structured as an issue of shares in the target company coupled with a share repurchase whereby the target company issues new shares to the 'purchaser' and repurchases shares held by the 'seller' (ie, the existing shareholder or shareholders). It is worth noting that, of late, this transaction structure has been the subject of increased scrutiny by the South African Revenue Service.

Our Companies Act, which took effect on 1 May 2011, introduced a new method of implementing an acquisition of a company: a statutory merger or amalgamation procedure allowing for the merger of one entity into another or the amalgamation of two entities into a new separate entity. Previously, there was no provision in South African law for mergers in the true sense of the word, and M&A in South Africa were generally effected through one of the above or below methods. Implementing an acquisition of a company by way of a statutory merger or amalgamation will result in the combination of all of the assets and liabilities of the merging entities into a single company. The parties are not at liberty to cherry pick which assets form part of the resultant merged entity.

Where a purchaser would like to avoid acquiring a target company in its entirety, with all of its disclosed and undisclosed liabilities, the purchaser may opt for an acquisition of the business or assets of the target company. The parties will typically enter into a sale of assets or sale of business agreement in terms of which the purchaser agrees to acquire the assets of the target company it wishes to acquire and assume the liabilities of the target company that it wishes to assume, in each case on the terms, and subject to the conditions, in the sale of assets or business agreement. This transaction structure is more complex than a share sale transaction by virtue of the fact that the acquisition agreement will have to list all of the assets that are being acquired by the purchaser. On the other hand, it offers the advantage of allowing the purchaser to cherry pick the assets and liabilities that it acquires, instead of acquiring the entire company with all of its assets and liabilities, as would be the case in a share sale transaction or a statutory merger or amalgamation.

The transaction process and the length of time will depend on a number of factors, including:

- which of the above methods is used to effect the transaction;
- the number of parties involved;
- the extent to which the transaction is negotiated; and
- whether any governmental approvals are required for the transaction (eg, competition or antitrust approvals, exchange control approvals or industry-specific approvals (eg, in the case of a mining transaction) and depending on the nature of the transaction (eg, whether there is a change of control), approval of the South African Department of Mineral Resources is required).

### 2 Which laws regulate private acquisitions and disposals in your jurisdiction? Must the acquisition of shares in a company, a business or assets be governed by local law?

The Companies Act, 2008 (read with the regulations thereto) (Companies Act) is the key piece of legislation regulating acquisitions and disposals of South African companies and their assets or businesses. There are a number of other statutes and regulations that may be relevant to private M&A, including:

- the Exchange Control Regulations, which are enforced by the Financial Surveillance Department of the South African Reserve Bank;
- the Competition Act, which requires M&A of a certain size to be approved by the relevant competition authorities; and
- certain industry-specific laws and regulations, for example, in the banking, mining and communications sectors.

Transaction agreements are typically governed by South African law. However, subject to compliance with the above laws and regulations, parties are free to choose the laws of any other jurisdiction as the governing law of the transaction agreements.

### 3 What legal title to shares in a company, a business or assets does a buyer acquire? Is this legal title prescribed by law or can the level of assurance be negotiated by a buyer? Does legal title to shares in a company, a business or assets transfer automatically by operation of law? Is there a difference between legal and beneficial title?

Under South African law there is a difference between beneficial owners of shares and registered owners of shares in a company. A registered owner of shares is the person whose name appears on the company's securities register, while a beneficial owner is the person who is entitled to exercise the rights attached to a share (the right to receive dividends, or the right to exercise, or cause to be exercised, the voting rights in relation to the share, etc). Owing to the manner in which the Companies Act defines a 'shareholder' (ie, the person whose name appears on the company's securities register), in relation to the company, the rights to vote at shareholders' meetings and to receive dividends reside with the registered holder and not the beneficial owner. The registered owner is required to exercise those rights in accordance with the instructions of the beneficial owner. Accordingly, while, as a matter of law, in a sale of shares transaction, the purchaser acquires the beneficial interest in the shares that are the subject of the transaction, the purchaser should also ensure that its name is registered in the company's register at the closing of the transaction so that it acquires both beneficial and registered ownership of the shares in question.

Beneficial ownership in a company's shares does not transfer automatically in our law. Therefore, it is common for the seller to warrant and represent that it has valid title to the shares that are being sold and that it has the power and authority to dispose of them in the manner contemplated in the sale agreement.

**4 Specifically in relation to the acquisition or disposal of shares in a company, where there are multiple sellers, must everyone agree to sell for the buyer to acquire all shares? If not, how can minority sellers that refuse to sell be squeezed out or dragged along by a buyer?**

A statutory squeeze-out is only available if the target company (including a private company) is a 'regulated company' as contemplated in the Companies Act (ie, a company in which more than 10 per cent of its issued shares have been transferred in the preceding 24 months; or whose memorandum of incorporation expressly provides that the takeover regulations of the Companies Act apply to it). In the circumstances, the buyer may consider a minority 'squeeze-out' in terms of section 124 of the Companies Act. Under section 124 of the Companies Act, if an offer for the target company has been accepted by 90 per cent of the target company's shareholders (excluding the buyer) within four months, the buyer may, within two months, compulsorily purchase the remaining 10 per cent of shares from the minority shareholder or shareholders who did not accept the offer.

Over and above the statutory mechanism, ideally where a purchaser wishes to acquire all of the issued shares in a private company it would obtain the consent of all of the shareholders of the company. However, it is not unusual for a private company's memorandum of incorporation or shareholders' agreement to contain a 'drag-along' provision pursuant to which minority shareholders are, subject to certain conditions, forced to sell their shares along with a majority shareholder. This is not a statutory provision, but is nevertheless a regular provision in private company memoranda of incorporation and shareholders' agreements.

If the minority shareholders do not agree to sell and the company's memorandum of incorporation or shareholders' agreement does not contain a 'drag-along' provision, the transaction may be structured as a scheme of arrangement. If approved by disinterested shareholders representing at least 75 per cent of the voting rights attached to the company's shares, the scheme will be binding on all of the shareholders, including the minority shareholders. Schemes are, however, more often used in public M&A and are rarely utilised in private M&A.

**5 Specifically in relation to the acquisition or disposal of a business, are there any assets or liabilities that cannot be excluded from the transaction by agreement between the parties? Are there any consents commonly required to be obtained or notifications to be made in order to effect the transfer of assets or liabilities in a business transfer?**

Parties in a sale of business or sale of assets are, subject to certain caveats, free to choose which assets or liabilities, or both, are transferred to the buyer as part of the transaction.

In terms of section 197 of the South African Labour Relations Act, 1995 (Labour Relations Act), where a business is transferred as a going concern, the employees of the target company are automatically, by operation of law, transferred to the acquiring entity on the same terms and conditions of employment, and the acquiring entity is automatically substituted as their new employer. The Labour Relations Act allows for parties to contract out of this position provided that an agreement to that effect is entered into between the buyer, the seller and the affected employees (or their representatives or trade union).

South African law also contains certain default provisions with respect to liability for environmental matters (eg, contamination and pollution) that need to be considered.

**6 Are there any legal, regulatory or governmental restrictions on the transfer of shares in a company, a business or assets in your jurisdiction? Do transactions in particular industries require consent from specific regulators or a governmental body? Are transactions commonly subject to any public or national interest considerations?**

As a general rule, there are no restrictions on foreign investment in South Africa. There are also no restrictions on the transfer of shares in a company or on the transfer of a company's business or assets. However, certain specific industries (including mining, banking, insurance and broadcasting) have specific statutory or policy restrictions on the percentage of holdings that a foreign shareholder can hold in a South African company. In addition, South Africa has exchange control regulations that require any outflow of capital or any inflow of loan

funds into South African to be approved by the South African Reserve Bank. Accordingly, a South African resident cannot transfer any shares to a South African non-resident without South African Reserve Bank approval nor can a South African non-resident advance a loan into South Africa without South African Reserve Bank approval. Approval is usually given, provided that the South African Reserve Bank is satisfied that fair consideration for the shares has been received in South Africa or that the loan is on an arms-length basis.

If a proposed transaction constitutes a 'merger' under the South African Competition Act, 1998 (Competition Act) and meets certain prescribed monetary thresholds, the competition authorities must be notified of the proposed transaction. In assessing the proposed transaction, the competition authorities are required, under the Competition Act, to consider the effect of the proposed transaction on the 'public interest'. The 'public interest' includes, inter alia, the effect of the proposed transaction may have on:

- a particular South African industry or region;
- employment;
- the ability of small businesses or firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged individuals to become more competitive; and
- the ability of national industries to compete in international markets.

Notwithstanding that a proposed transaction may not meet the monetary thresholds for a mandatory notification to the competition authorities, the Competition Act empowers the Competition Commission to request (within six months of implementation of the transaction) that the parties formally notify it of the transaction. The Competition Commission will therefore be required to consider the above 'public interest' grounds in its assessment of the transaction.

**7 Are any other third-party consents commonly required?**

There are no general third-party consents prescribed by law in respect of a transfer of shares. However, as stated in question 6, certain specific industries have statutory restrictions that may require the consent of a regulator or government authority for a transfer of shares.

It is not uncommon for private company memoranda of incorporation or shareholders' agreements to contain some sort of pre-emptive right or other provision requiring a selling shareholder to obtain the consent of other shareholders in the company before transferring its shares to a third party.

It is also not uncommon for agreements with third parties to require consent for a change of control in respect of a target company. Accordingly, the parties may require the prior consent of certain key lenders, suppliers and customers if the proposed transaction triggers such a change of control consent.

In the context of a sale of a business or assets, while assets can generally be freely transferred to a buyer without the need for any third-party consents, liabilities can only be transferred with the prior consent of the person to whom such liability is owed. Accordingly, the consent of third-party counterparties will be required to assign any contracts.

**8 Must regulatory filings be made or registration fees paid to acquire shares in a company, a business or assets in your jurisdiction?**

Depending on the nature of the transaction, approvals may be required from one or more of the following regulatory bodies:

- the Competition Commission in respect of intermediate mergers, or the Competition Commission and the Competition Tribunal in respect of large mergers (in respect of which a fee will be payable);
- the South African Takeover Regulation Panel in respect of transactions involving a 'regulated company' (see question 4) (a fee will be payable);
- the South African Reserve Bank, where the transaction involves a South African non-resident party and requires exchange control approval (no fee will be payable), and the National Treasury (no fee will be payable) if the transaction is not within an established exchange control policy; and
- the relevant sector-specific regulator, if applicable (a fee may be payable).

Transfer taxes, in the form of securities transfer tax (STT), are payable in respect of a disposal of shares. For shares in an unlisted South African company, STT is payable by the target company at a rate of 0.25 per cent of either the sale consideration or the market value of the shares (whichever amount is greater) but may be recovered by the target company from the purchaser.

#### Advisers, negotiation and documentation

##### 9 In addition to external lawyers, which advisers might a buyer or a seller customarily appoint to assist with a transaction? Are there any typical terms of appointment of such advisers?

Depending on the complexity of the transaction and their respective in-house capacity, parties generally appoint a financial adviser and accountants to assist with the transaction. Financial advisers provide strategic and valuation advice, while accountants assist with accounting and financial diligence matters. Accountants and lawyers are often engaged to provide tax-structuring advice and to assist with tax diligence. Strategy and business consultants may also be appointed to conduct commercial due diligence. In addition, if the transaction triggers the requirement for an independent fairness opinion or an independent expert's report, the parties may need to appoint a person who meets the requirements for an independent expert (typically an investment bank or accounting firm not involved in the transaction).

Most professional advisers have standard terms of engagement. Fees will typically depend on the complexity and value of the deal, timelines and the nature of any required work product. A buyer's financial, accounting and legal fees may add up to several per cent of the deal value.

##### 10 Is there a duty to negotiate in good faith? Are the parties subject to any other duties when negotiating a transaction?

The South African law of contract does not impose a general duty to negotiate in good faith. Accordingly, parties to a transaction are permitted to pursue their own self-interest. However, our courts have held that where the terms of a contract are manifestly contrary to public policy, such terms will not be enforceable. Accordingly, while the parties to an agreement are permitted to pursue their own self-interest in negotiating an agreement, if the agreement is so unjust as to be incompatible with the public interest, it may be unenforceable in our courts.

##### 11 What documentation do buyers and sellers customarily enter into when acquiring shares or a business or assets? Are there differences between the documents used for acquiring shares as opposed to a business or assets?

In a sale of shares transaction, the main transaction agreement will be a sale of shares agreement in terms of which the seller agrees to transfer its shareholding in the target company to the buyer on the terms, and subject to the conditions in the sale of shares transactions.

In a sale of assets or a sale of business, the parties will typically enter into a sale of assets or sale of business agreement in terms of which the purchaser agrees to acquire the assets of the target company it wishes to acquire, and assume the liabilities of the target company that it wishes to assume, in each case on the terms, and subject to the conditions in the sale of assets or business agreement.

The following agreements may be applicable to both share sale transactions and asset or business sale agreements:

- the buyer may deliver a non-binding expression of interest in which it expresses its desire to acquire the target shares or the target business or assets, and proposes indicative terms for the transaction;
- the parties may then enter into an exclusivity agreement pursuant to which the seller agrees not to solicit a competing offer from a third party in respect of the target shares or the target business or assets;
- the parties may also enter into a non-disclosure agreement in terms of which each party undertakes not to disclose information relating to the proposed transaction and not to disclose any confidential information received as part of the due diligence process or in negotiating the transaction agreements;
- the parties may also enter into a disclosure letter in terms of which the seller makes certain disclosures against which the warranties in the sale of shares agreement or the sale of business or assets agreement (as applicable) are qualified; and

- at closing, the parties will need to sign certain documents to transfer or register title to the sale shares or assets. These will differ depending on the nature of the assets being transferred.

##### 12 Are there formalities for executing documents? Are digital signatures enforceable?

There are generally no formalities for executing documents in South Africa. In most instances, a signature by a duly authorised signatory will suffice. However, certain documents, such as mortgage bonds, affidavits and agreements relating to the transfer of immoveable property require certain formalities to be complied with in order to valid (eg, being signed in the presence of a commissioner of oaths).

In terms of the Electronic Communications and Transactions Act, 2002, digital signatures are enforceable, although certain agreements bear stricter standards of compliance.

#### Due diligence and disclosure

##### 13 What is the typical scope of due diligence in your jurisdiction? Do sellers usually provide due diligence reports to prospective buyers? Can buyers usually rely on due diligence reports produced for the seller?

In the context of South African private M&A transactions, it would be unusual to proceed with a transaction without having first completed a legal and financial due diligence exercise so as to give the buyer a level of comfort regarding the assets that are the subject of the transaction and to identify any risks that would need to be mitigated by way of additional warranty and indemnity cover. The scope of due diligence varies from deal to deal depending on the specific requirements of the buyer. In South Africa, buyers tend to opt for a limited scope 'red flags only' due diligence that seeks to confirm title to the shares or assets that are the subject of the transaction; identify any change of control provisions in material contracts that may be triggered by the proposed transaction; and identify any material undisclosed risks and liabilities.

In the context of a controlled auction process, it is not uncommon for the successful bidder (and its funders for purposes of the proposed transaction) to be given reliance on the due diligence report prepared on behalf of the vendor. However, liability is usually limited to loss arising from the negligence of the person that prepared the report only.

##### 14 Can a seller be liable for pre-contractual or misleading statements? Can any such liability be excluded by agreement between the parties?

In South African contract law, pre-contractual, false, material statements made by the seller bearing on the quality of the assets being sold can trigger restitutionary remedies for the buyer if the statements induced the buyer to enter the agreement. Such liability can be excluded by agreement between the parties (eg, the 'as is' clause).

Furthermore, a seller may be liable under the law of delict (tort) for any fraudulent or negligent misrepresentation that induces the buyer to enter into the agreement. While negligent misrepresentations may possibly be excluded by agreement between the parties, liability for fraudulent misrepresentation cannot be contracted out of by the parties.

##### 15 What information is publicly available on private companies and their assets? What searches of such information might a buyer customarily carry out before entering into an agreement?

Publicly available information on private companies in South Africa is relatively limited. Accordingly, it is unusual for prospective buyers to enter into definitive transaction agreements without having completed some level of diligence (unless the transaction agreements themselves are conditional on the completion of a due diligence).

South African private companies are required to register with the Companies and Intellectual Property Commission (CIPC). The publicly available information held by the CIPC is relatively limited and includes elementary details such as registration dates, directors' names and addresses, and financial year end. No details are provided on a company's assets or its shareholding. Copies of a company's constitutional documents may also be uplifted from the CIPC.

**16 What impact might a buyer's actual or deemed knowledge have on claims it may seek to bring against a seller relating to a transaction?**

As a general principle, a party's actual or deemed knowledge will not preclude it from bringing a claim for breach of a warranty. However, where a party enters into an agreement in manifest bad faith (eg, knowing that a particular set of circumstances exist, which the other party is unaware of, that would make a warranty untrue) a court may refuse to enforce the claim on the basis that to do so would be inimical to public policy (see question 10).

**Pricing, consideration and financing**

**17 How is pricing customarily determined? Is the use of closing accounts or a locked-box structure more common?**

Both closing accounts and locked-box structures are used in private in South African private M&A transactions, with locked-box structures being the more common of the two, particularly in the context of private equity transactions.

**18 What form does consideration normally take? Is there any overriding obligation to pay multiple sellers the same consideration?**

Consideration in South African private M&A transactions often takes the form of cash, shares or a combination of both.

There is no obligation to pay multiple sellers the same consideration. However, if the acquisition is structured as a scheme of arrangement or as a minority 'squeeze-out' in terms of section 124 of the Companies Act (see question 4), the buyer may be required to pay the same consideration to each of the sellers.

**19 Are earn-outs, deposits and escrows used?**

Escrow arrangements are typical in South African private M&A transactions. Deposits are unusual, and earn-outs are most commonly used in the context of management buyout transactions.

**20 How are acquisitions financed? How is assurance provided that financing will be available?**

Bank-led acquisition or leverage financing from financial institutions are the most commonly used methods of financing private M&A transactions in South Africa. It is also not uncommon for buyers to take out some form of bridge loan to finance an acquisition and then to repay the bridge funding, post-transaction, by way of a high-yield bond offering or (in the case of a publicly listed buyer) a rights offer.

Sellers are often provided comfort of the buyer's certainty of funds through some form of escrow arrangement, bank guarantee or letter of support from a lender. The ultimate method chosen to provide certainty of funds to a seller often depends on the nature of the transaction, the identity of the buyer and the quantum of purchase price.

**21 Are there any limitations that impact the financing structure? Is a seller restricted from giving financial assistance to a buyer in connection with a transaction?**

Seller companies may give financial assistance to buyers in connection with the subscription for, or acquisition of, any securities issued by the seller. However, section 44 of the Companies Act provides that such assistance may only be given pursuant to a special resolution of shareholders (more than 75 per cent) and a resolution by the board indicating that the seller will be solvent and liquid after such assistance is given.

**Conditions, pre-closing covenants and termination rights**

**22 Are transactions normally subject to closing conditions? Describe those closing conditions that are customarily acceptable to a seller and any other conditions a buyer may seek to include in the agreement.**

Although signing and completion of transactions can occur simultaneously, most transactions will be subject to some form of conditionality. The most common closing conditions include:

- obtaining regulatory approvals (eg, all applicable competition and antitrust approvals, exchange control approvals or industry-specific approvals) (see question 8);

- the shareholders of the target company passing the necessary resolutions to approve the transaction;
- obtaining all applicable consents from counterparties (including lenders) to material agreements where such material agreements contain change of control provisions that will be triggered by the proposed transaction; and
- obtaining all requisite waivers by any remaining shareholders of their pre-emptive rights.

While sellers will want to limit the conditionality of the transaction to the above conditions only, the buyer may require additional conditions such as:

- the absence of a material adverse change since the date of entering into the relevant sale agreement;
- a new shareholders' agreement being entered into between the buyer and any remaining shareholders of the target company; and
- transitional services agreements being entered into between the target company and the members of its former group who provided certain critical services to it prior to the transaction.

**23 What typical obligations are placed on a buyer or a seller to satisfy closing conditions? Does the strength of these obligations customarily vary depending on the subject matter of the condition?**

Both parties are usually required to at least use their reasonable commercial endeavours to ensure that conditions are satisfied. Certain conditions may come with the more onerous obligation to use best efforts to ensure satisfaction of conditions. Although not common, in certain transactions where a seller has sufficient negotiating leverage, they may require the buyer to agree to a 'hell or high water' standard whereby the buyer agrees to take whatever steps necessary to ensure that a condition is satisfied, regardless of the amount of money and effort required. This standard is not common, and is almost invariably used in the context of conditions relating to obtaining competition and antitrust approvals for the transaction.

**24 Are pre-closing covenants normally agreed by parties? If so, what is the usual scope of those covenants and the remedy for any breach?**

Sellers will typically agree to some form of pre-closing covenants. Such covenants are usually aimed at ensuring that the target company's business is conducted in the ordinary course of business in a manner consistent with past practice; and preserving the value of the target company by prohibiting the seller and the target company from carrying out any actions that are designed to maximise short-term profit but may result in long-term loss to the target company (eg, declaring and paying out of a special dividend or implementing changes to accounting policies).

**25 Can the parties typically terminate the transaction after signing? If so, in what circumstances?**

The parties can typically terminate the transaction prior to closing. However, it is unusual to have a right to terminate after closing and therefore 'unscramble the egg'. The usual grounds for terminating the transaction prior to closing include:

- a material breach of the agreement by one party and a failure to cure such breach after being given a reasonable period to do so;
- an order of court or some other competent government body (eg, the Department of Mineral Resources rejecting an application to transfer a mining right) prohibiting the consummation of the transaction; or
- the occurrence of a material adverse change prior to closing.

The parties can obviously also terminate an agreement by mutual consent either prior to or after closing.

**26 Are break-up fees and reverse break-up fees common in your jurisdiction? If so, what are the typical terms? Are there any applicable restrictions on paying break-up fees?**

Break-up fees and reverse break-up fees are not as common in private M&A transactions as they may be in public M&A transactions. Where they are contemplated, parties need to consider whether such a break-up fee or reverse break-up fee, as structured, would constitute financial

### Update and trends

In June 2017, the South African Ministry of Mineral Resources published the much-awaited third edition of the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Charter for the South African Mining and Minerals Industry (Revised Charter). The Revised Charter, inter alia, sets a minimum 30 per cent black ownership requirement for existing mining rights holders and prospecting rights holders (up from 26 per cent). It also requires all new prospecting rights holders to be majority black-owned. The Revised Charter has been met with severe criticism from the South African Chamber of Mines, which has launched a court application to review and set aside the Revised Charter. Following the criticism and legal challenge to the Revised Charter, the Ministry of Mineral Resources suspended the implementation of the Revised Charter pending finalisation of the legal challenge brought by the Chamber of Mines.

On 3 April 2017, Standard and Poor's downgraded South Africa's

sovereign credit rating to non-investment grade (BB+ with a negative outlook) due to, inter alia, political and economic uncertainty caused by changes in the South African national cabinet. On 7 April 2017, Fitch Ratings also downgraded South Africa's sovereign credit rating to non-investment grade (BB+ with a negative outlook) for similar reasons.

Notwithstanding the above, the number of private equity exit transactions in South Africa is set to increase as investment periods (usually five to seven years) come to an end. In addition, private equity acquisitions have started to increase in the rest of Africa, with many institutional investors viewing Africa as having more attractive returns than other emerging markets. South Africa continues to be seen by foreign investors as the gateway to Africa because of its mature business environment and well-developed infrastructure, financial services, telecommunications and legal system.

assistance under section 44 of the Companies Act and thus require shareholder approval and confirmation by the company's board that after paying such fee, the company will remain solvent and liquid.

In addition, to the extent that the target company is a 'regulated company' as contemplated in the Companies Act, the Companies Act (read with its regulations) prohibits break-up fees in excess of 1 per cent of the deal value. No such cap exists in respect of reverse break-up fees.

### Representations, warranties, indemnities and post-closing covenants

#### 27 Does a seller typically give representations, warranties and indemnities to a buyer? If so, what is the usual scope of those representations, warranties and indemnities? Are there legal distinctions between representations, warranties and indemnities?

Sellers typically give warranties and indemnities to a buyer. It is not uncommon for a seller to push back on giving representations. Under South African law, a negligent or fraudulent misrepresentation gives rise to a claim in delict (tort) while a warranty breach will only give rise to a contractual claim. The remedy and quantum of damages will differ between the two.

An indemnity is essentially an undertaking by one of the parties to make good any losses suffered, costs incurred or damages suffered by the other party as a result of the occurrence of a particular event. Where a party claims under a breach of warranty, that party will have to prove its losses. The manner of quantifying claims under an indemnity is usually regulated by agreement between the parties. Indemnities are quite often used alongside warranties in South Africa, whereby a party agrees to indemnify the other for any losses suffered, costs incurred or damages suffered by the latter as a result of a breach of warranty by the former.

#### 28 What are the customary limitations on a seller's liability under a sale and purchase agreement?

Limitations on a seller's liability are usually heavily negotiated between the parties. It is not uncommon for some form of limitation to be agreed. This usually takes the form of one or more of the following:

- limitations as to the time period in which claims may be brought. This may differ between certain type of claims. For instance, claims for breach of 'business warranties' may be limited to three years, while tax warranty claims may be limited to the maximum period in which the applicable tax authority may assess the matter and fundamental warranties such title to the sale shares may be uncapped;
- a de minimis limitation limiting claims below an agreed amount so as to avoid the inconvenience of trivial claims; and
- an upper limit to the amount that a party may claim. It is not uncommon for parties to agree an upper limit that is equal to the purchase price or some percentage thereof.

#### 29 Is transaction insurance in respect of representation, warranty and indemnity claims common in your jurisdiction? If so, does a buyer or a seller customarily put the insurance in place and what are the customary terms?

Warranty and indemnity insurance has not yet become a common feature in South African private M&A transactions. However, it is

available in South Africa, and has been used in a number of private equity transactions.

#### 30 Do parties typically agree to post-closing covenants? If so, what is the usual scope of such covenants?

Post-closing covenants are usually limited to non-compete undertakings and undertakings not to solicit employees or customers.

### Tax

#### 31 Are transfer taxes payable on the transfers of shares in a company, a business or assets? If so, what is the rate of such transfer tax and which party customarily bears the cost?

STT is levied on the transfer of a security at a rate of 0.25 per cent. STT is payable by the issuer of the security but may be recovered from the transferee. Transfer duty is payable on the transfer of immovable property in South Africa at varying rates depending on the value of the property. These transfer taxes are subject to various exemptions. Transfers of other business assets are not generally subject to transfer taxes or duties.

#### 32 Are corporate taxes or other taxes payable on transactions involving the transfers of shares in a company, a business or assets? If so, what is the rate of such transfer tax and which party customarily bears the cost?

A person disposing of shares in a company, a business or assets may be subject to capital gains tax (CGT) or income tax (depending on whether the person held the relevant asset as a capital asset or a revenue asset). Generally speaking, companies pay CGT at a rate of 22.4 per cent, trusts at a rate of 36 per cent and individuals at a rate of up to 18 per cent. Conversely, companies pay income tax at a rate of 28 per cent, trusts at a rate of 45 per cent and individuals at a rate of up to 45 per cent.

Value added tax (VAT) is levied (at a rate of either 14 or zero per cent) on the supply of goods and services by registered vendors. Certain supplies are exempt from VAT. Generally, a sale of shares will be an exempt supply for VAT purposes. The sale of a business as a going concern may qualify for 'zero rating' (provided that all of the requirements are met). The person liable to account for VAT will depend on the nature of the supply, the parties to the transaction and where the supply is treated as taking place for VAT purposes.

### Employees, pensions and benefits

#### 33 Are the employees of a target company automatically transferred when a buyer acquires the shares in the target company? Is the same true when a buyer acquires a business or assets from the target company?

If a business is acquired by way of a share sale transaction, and the business of the company continues to operate in the same way as before the purchase of shares, there will be no employment consequences arising from the sale and the employees of the target company will not automatically transfer to the buyer. This is different to the position in a sale of a business as a going concern. Where a transaction is structured as a sale of a business as a going concern, section 197 of the Labour Relations Act applies (see question 5). In this event, the employees of the target company are automatically transferred to the

acquiring entity on the same terms and conditions of employment and the acquiring entity is automatically substituted as their new employer.

Where a transaction is structured as an asset sale only (ie, the assets being sold do not constitute a going concern business), section 197 of the Labour Relations Act is not triggered and the employees of the seller do not automatically transfer to the buyer. In this instance, the consent and agreement of the employees of the seller would need to be obtained in order for the employees to be transferred to the purchaser.

**34 Are there obligations to notify or consult with employees or employee representatives in connection with an acquisition of shares in a company, a business or assets?**

Except to the extent that it is provided for in a collective bargaining agreement, there is no statutory obligation to inform or consult with the employees or recognised trade union or employee representatives in a share sale, asset sale or sale of a business as a going concern. It is however recommended, from a good industrial relations perspective, to keep employees and recognised trade union and employee representatives informed of any anticipated change in ownership in the shares of the company, its assets or its business.

**35 Do pensions and other benefits automatically transfer with the employees of a target company? Must filings be made or consent obtained relating to employee benefits where there is the acquisition of a company or business?**

In terms of section 197(4) of the Labour Relations Act, employees may be transferred to another retirement fund, provided that the provisions of section 14(1)(c) of the Pension Funds Act of 1956 are complied with. In essence, this means that the Registrar of Pension Funds has to be satisfied that the new scheme is reasonable and equitable and that it accords full recognition to the reasonable benefit expectations of the transferring employees.

In circumstances where there is a need to transfer employees from the previous holding company's pension fund, provident fund or medical aid to those of the purchaser, an amendment to the employees' terms and conditions of employment would need to be negotiated and agreed with the employees or their representatives.

In the case of a sale of business, the identity of the participating employer changes. The consequences of a change in employer are dependent upon the rules of the seller's fund, which may allow for the new employer (the purchaser) to be substituted in the place of the old employer (ie, the seller), normally subject to the approval of the trustees of the fund. If a substitution is not permitted by the rules, the purchaser would need to find a new fund that largely replicates the benefits of the old fund and arrange for the employees' membership of the old fund, together with the assets and liabilities of the old fund that relate to the employees, to be transferred to the new fund in terms of section 14(1)(c) of the Pension Funds Act of 1956.



# BOWMANS

**Charles Douglas**  
**Sibonelo Mdluli**

**charles.douglas@bowmanslaw.com**  
**sibonelo.mdluli@bowmanslaw.com**

11 Alice Lane  
Sandton, 2146  
South Africa

Tel: +27 11 669 9000  
Fax: +27 11 669 9001  
www.bowmanslaw.com

## Getting the Deal Through

Acquisition Finance  
Advertising & Marketing  
Agribusiness  
Air Transport  
Anti-Corruption Regulation  
Anti-Money Laundering  
Arbitration  
Asset Recovery  
Automotive  
Aviation Finance & Leasing  
Aviation Liability  
Banking Regulation  
Cartel Regulation  
Class Actions  
Cloud Computing  
Commercial Contracts  
Competition Compliance  
Construction  
Copyright  
Corporate Governance  
Corporate Immigration  
Cybersecurity  
Data Protection & Privacy  
Debt Capital Markets  
Dispute Resolution  
Distribution & Agency  
Domains & Domain Names  
Dominance  
e-Commerce  
Electricity Regulation  
Energy Disputes  
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments  
Environment & Climate Regulation  
Equity Derivatives  
Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits  
Financial Services Litigation  
Fintech  
Foreign Investment Review  
Franchise  
Fund Management  
Gas Regulation  
Government Investigations  
Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation  
High-Yield Debt  
Initial Public Offerings  
Insurance & Reinsurance  
Insurance Litigation  
Intellectual Property & Antitrust  
Investment Treaty Arbitration  
Islamic Finance & Markets  
Labour & Employment  
Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy  
Licensing  
Life Sciences  
Loans & Secured Financing  
Mediation  
Merger Control  
Mergers & Acquisitions  
Mining  
Oil Regulation  
Outsourcing  
Patents  
Pensions & Retirement Plans  
Pharmaceutical Antitrust  
Ports & Terminals  
Private Antitrust Litigation  
Private Banking & Wealth Management  
Private Client  
Private Equity  
Private M&A  
Product Liability  
Product Recall  
Project Finance  
Public-Private Partnerships  
Public Procurement  
Real Estate  
Renewable Energy  
Restructuring & Insolvency  
Right of Publicity  
Risk & Compliance Management  
Securities Finance  
Securities Litigation  
Shareholder Activism & Engagement  
Ship Finance  
Shipbuilding  
Shipping  
State Aid  
Structured Finance & Securitisation  
Tax Controversy  
Tax on Inbound Investment  
Telecoms & Media  
Trade & Customs  
Trademarks  
Transfer Pricing  
Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally



# Online

[www.gettingthedealthrough.com](http://www.gettingthedealthrough.com)



Private M&A  
ISSN 2515-3781



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS  
FOR ENTERPRISE:  
2012



Official Partner of the Latin American  
Corporate Counsel Association



Strategic Research Sponsor of the  
ABA Section of International Law